

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

05/13/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,008	06/28/2001	James W. Blackburn	5237-000003	3669

7590

Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C. 7700 Bonhomme, Suite 400 St. Louis, MI 63105

EXAMINER PRINCE, FRED G

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1724

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A 11 41 21	#G
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summer:	09/895,008	BLACKBURN, JAMES W.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MANUALO DATE of the	Fred Prince	1724
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cov r shee	t with the correspondenc address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mation.  rs, a reply within the statutory minimum or y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  te ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>08 <i>April 2003</i></u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[	This action is non-final.	
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	allowance except for formal under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
·	on of Claims		
•	Claim(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
·	Claim(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.		
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	and/or election requirement.	
9) 🔲 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	] accepted or b) $\square$ objected to $f l$	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in al	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are require	, •	
12)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority doce	uments have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received i	n Application No
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ol>	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	)).
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
•	☐ The translation of the foreign languance. The translation of the foreign languance. The translation of the foreign languance.		
Attachment	(s)		
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Application/Control Number: 09/895,008

Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

1. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 6, "form" should be changed to --from--. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not disclose that "heat" may be used to dry treated organic matter. Instead, the specification discloses that "energy" could be used to dry solids. Accordingly, the recitation of "heat" to dry the solids is new matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eby et al. in view of Wagner.

Eby et al. disclose a method for aerobic thermophilic treatment (col. 3, lines 28-33) including the steps of passing air through a reactor, recycling air through the

reactor, removing ammonia from the air (col. 1, lines 47-66; col. 2, lines 34-42). Eby et al. do not disclose passing a fluid through the reactor to dry organic matter.

Page 3

Wagner discloses receiving heat from a fluid in order to dry sludge to ultimately produce a useful product.

It would have been obvious for the skilled artisan to have modified the method of Eby et al. such that it includes receiving heat from the fluid to dry the sludge in order to ultimately produce a useful product, as suggested by Wagner.

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eby et al. in view of Norcross et al.

Eby et al. disclose a system including a reactor (2), an aeration system (col. 2, lines 25-26)), a recirculation system including a blower (28, 34) located outside of the reactor, and a system for removing ammonia (30). Eby et al. do not disclose releasing the gas to the atmosphere.

Norcross et al. disclose releasing a portion of the gas in a reactor in order to avoid overpressurising the reactor (col. 7, lines 40-51).

It would have been obvious for the skilled artisan to have modified the system of Eby et al. by releasing a portion of the gas in order to avoid overpressurising the reactor, as suggested by Norcross et al.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References are cited of interest to show the state of the art.



Art Unit: 1724

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (703) 306-9169. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (703) 308-3792. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,008

Art Unit: 1724

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

FRED G. PRINCE PRIMARY EXAMINER May 7, 2003